# What am I looking at? Forward and inverse appearance models

Jeppe Revall Frisvad Technical University of Denmark August 2020

DTU, DIKU & AAU Summer School on Inverse Problems

DTU Campus

**DTU Compute** 

Technical University of Denmark was founded by H.C. Ørsted, the discoverer of electromagnetism, for developing and creating value through the technical and natural sciences to the benefit of society.



... spans the entire spectrum from fundamental mathematics across mathematical modelling to computer science, which is the basis of the modern digital world.

11 research sections, 400 employees, 100 permanent academic staff members (faculty)

# Section for Image Analysis and Computer Graphics

statistical IMAGE ANALYSIS medical statistical COMPUTER VISION industrial

3D scan and print GEOMETRIC DATA processing modeling COMPUTER GRAPHICS rendering



# Research overview

digital prototyping

quality assessment

additive manufacturing

synthetic data for learning

rendering

material appearance

digitizing cultural/natural heritage

visualization

scatter correction in imaging

optical functional materials

multiscale material modeling

# Material appearance

- Light is what you sense.
- Matter is what you see.
- Geometry is an abstraction over the shapes that you see.
- Appearance is a combination of the three.

### Reflectance: surface and subsurface scattering of light









#### glossy BRDF $f_r(\mathbf{x},\overline{\omega};\overline{\omega})$

# **Optical properties**

- Parameters that determine how light interacts with a material.
- Quantum and wave theories:
  - Quantum scale: photon-electron interactions in atomic systems.
  - Nanoscopic scale: charge and current densities in atomic systems.
  - Microscopic scale: polarisation and magnetisation vectors.
  - Macroscopic scale: permittivity, permeability, conductivity.
- Radiative transfer theory:
  - Microscopic scale: complex index of refraction.
  - Mesoscopic scale: surface BSDF, scattering cross section, phase function.
  - Macroscopic scale: scattering properties, BSSRDF, BRDF, BTDF.





# Optical properties at multiple scales



Appearance matching: manual adjustment of optical properties is tedious and difficult.

- We can render objects with a plausible appearance but have a hard time matching the appearance of a manufactured item to that of its digital twin.
- Research challenge: digital representations of real translucent objects.
- Important aspects: validation (photo-render alignment), acquisition (inverse rendering), application (quality control).

## Radiative transfer and scattering properties

- We follow a ray of light passing through a scattering medium.
- The parameters describing the medium are
  - $\sigma_a$  the absorption coefficient  $[m^{-1}]$
  - $\sigma_s$  the scattering coefficient [m<sup>-1</sup>]
  - $\sigma_t$  the extinction coefficient  $[m^{-1}]$   $(\sigma_t = \sigma_a + \sigma_s)$
  - p the phase function [sr<sup>-1</sup>]
  - $\varepsilon$  the emission properties [Wsr<sup>-1</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>] (radiance per meter).
- The radiative transfer equation (RTE)

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\omega} \cdot \nabla) L(\mathbf{x}, \vec{\omega}) &= -\sigma_t(\mathbf{x}) L(\mathbf{x}, \vec{\omega}) \\ &+ \sigma_s(\mathbf{x}) \int_{4\pi} p(\mathbf{x}, \vec{\omega}', \vec{\omega}) L(\mathbf{x}, \vec{\omega}') \, \mathrm{d}\omega' \\ &+ \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \vec{\omega}) \; , \end{aligned}$$

where L is radiance at the position  $\mathbf{x}$  along the ray in the direction  $\vec{\omega}$ .

# Computing appearance from scattering properties

Prediction requires solving the radiative transfer equation:

$$(\vec{\omega}\cdot\nabla)L(\mathbf{x},\vec{\omega}) = -\sigma_t(\mathbf{x})L(\mathbf{x},\vec{\omega}) + \sigma_s(\mathbf{x})\int_{4\pi}^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x},\vec{\omega}',\vec{\omega})L(\mathbf{x},\vec{\omega}')\,\mathrm{d}\omega' + \varepsilon(\mathbf{x},\vec{\omega})\,.$$

The solution method of choice today:

Stochastic ray tracing (Monte Carlo integration).



How do we compute input scattering properties from the microgeometry of a material?

# Models at different scales

- We divide the microscopic scale into
  - Nano/micro: models considering explicit microgeometry.
  - Micro/milli: models using particle size or microfacet normal distribution functions.
- We divide the macroscopic scale into
  - BSSRDF: models where the points of incidence and emergence are different.
  - BRDF/BTDF: local models for opaque/thin objects.



# Formal models based on theory(t)

- Mathematical models for optical properties.
- Based on optics or radiative transfer theory.
- Early examples:
  - Torrance-Sparrow BRDF [TS67,Bli77,CT81]
  - Chandrasekhar single-scattering BRDF/BTDF for layers [Bli82,HK93]
  - Scattering properties from densities [KV84,NIDN97,DEJ\*99]
  - Kirchhoff approximation BRDF [Kaj85,HTSG91,Sta99]

#### microsurface

volume densities

- BRDF/BTDF from ray tracing of microgeometry [CMS87,WAT92,GMN94]
  - Fibre scattering model (BCSDF) [KK89,MJC\*03,ZW07]
  - Lorenz-Mie scattering properties [Cal96,JW97,FCJ07]
  - Diffusion dipole BSSRDF [JMLH01,DJ05]

scattering by spherical particles

scalar diffraction by surface elements around a plane







V-arooves

# Forward simulation( $\rightarrow$ )

- Computing optical properties at a more macroscopic scale.
- Formulate a measurement equation and evaluate it by simulation.
- Use microscale information to find a macroscopic function.
- Examples:
  - Microfacet normal distribution → BRDF/BTDF [TS67,Bli77,CT81,HTSG91,Sta99]
  - Explicitly defined microsurface  $\rightarrow$  BRDF/BTDF [Kaj85,CMS87,WAT92,GMN94]
  - Fibre geometry  $\rightarrow$  scattering properties [KK89]
  - particle concentrations  $\rightarrow$  BRDF [HM92,Cal96]
  - Spherical particle  $\rightarrow$  scattering properties [Cal96,JW97]
  - Explicitly defined microsurface  $\rightarrow$  microfacet normal distribution [Sta99]
  - BSSRDF  $\rightarrow$  BRDF [JMLH01]

# Scattering of a plane wave by a spherical particle

- A plane wave scattered by a spherical particle gives rise to a spherical wave.
- The components of a spherical wave are spherical functions.
- To evaluate these spherical functions, we use spherical harmonic expansions.
- Coefficients in these spherical harmonic expansions are referred to as Lorenz-Mie coefficients a<sub>n</sub> and b<sub>n</sub>.



- Lorenz [1890] and Mie [1908] derived formal expressions for a<sub>n</sub> and b<sub>n</sub> using the spherical Bessel functions j<sub>n</sub> and y<sub>n</sub>.
- These expressions are written more compactly if we use the Riccati-Bessel functions: ψ<sub>n</sub>(z) = z j<sub>n</sub>(z) , ζ<sub>n</sub>(z) = z(j<sub>n</sub>(z) i y<sub>n</sub>(z)), where z is (in general) a complex number.

# The Lorenz-Mie coefficients $(a_n \text{ and } b_n)$

► Using the Riccati-Bessel functions  $\psi_n$  and  $\zeta_n$ , the expressions for the Lorenz-Mie coefficients are

$$a_n = \frac{n_{\text{med}}\psi'_n(y)\psi_n(x) - n_p\psi_n(y)\psi'_n(x)}{n_{\text{med}}\psi'_n(y)\zeta_n(x) - n_p\psi_n(y)\zeta'_n(x)}$$
  
$$b_n = \frac{n_p\psi'_n(y)\psi_n(x) - n_{\text{med}}\psi_n(y)\psi'_n(x)}{n_p\psi'_n(y)\zeta_n(x) - n_{\text{med}}\psi_n(y)\zeta'_n(x)}$$

- Primes ' denote derivative.
- n<sub>med</sub> and n<sub>p</sub> are the refractive indices of the host medium and the particle respectively.
- x and y are called size parameters.
- ► If r is the particle radius and \(\lambda\) is the wavelength in vacuo, then x and y are defined by

$$x = \frac{2\pi r n_{med}}{\lambda}$$
 ,  $y = \frac{2\pi r n_p}{\lambda}$ 

## From particles to appearance



## Scattering by spherical particles

► The Lorenz-Mie theory:

$$p(\theta) = \frac{|S_1(\theta)|^2 + |S_2(\theta)|^2}{2|k|^2 C_s}$$

$$S_1(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} \left(a_n \pi_n(\cos \theta) + b_n \tau_n(\cos \theta)\right)$$

$$S_2(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} \left(a_n \tau_n(\cos \theta) + b_n \pi_n(\cos \theta)\right)$$

 $\triangleright$   $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are the Lorenz-Mie coefficients.

 $\triangleright$   $\pi_n$  and  $\tau_n$  are spherical functions associated with the Legendre polynomials.



large particle

small particle

# Quantity of scattering

Lorenz-Mie theory continued:

The scattering and extinction cross sections of a particle:

$$C_{s} = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2\pi |n_{\text{med}}|^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1) \left( |a_{n}|^{2} + |b_{n}|^{2} \right)$$
$$C_{t} = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1) \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{a_{n} + b_{n}}{n_{\text{med}}^{2}} \right) .$$



### Bulk optical properties of a material

lnput is the desired volume fraction of a component v and a representative number density distribution  $\hat{N}$ . We have

$$\hat{v} = rac{4\pi}{3} \int_{r_{\min}}^{r_{\max}} r^3 \hat{N}(r) \,\mathrm{d}r \;\;,$$

and then the desired distribution is  $N = \hat{N}v/\hat{v}$ .

• Use this to find the bulk properties  $\sigma_s$  (and  $\sigma_t$  likewise)

$$\sigma_{s} = \int_{r_{\min}}^{r_{\max}} C_{s}(r) N(r) \,\mathrm{d}r \;\;.$$



### Computing scattering properties

- Input needed for computing scattering properties:
  - Particle composition (volume fractions, particle shapes).
  - Refractive index for host medium n<sub>med</sub>.
  - Refractive index for each particle type  $n_p$ .
  - Size distribution for each particle type (N).
- Lorenz-Mie theory uses a series expansion. How many terms should we include?
- ▶ Number of terms to sum  $M = \left[ |x| + p|x|^{1/3} + 1 \right]$ .
  - Empirically justified [Wiscombe 1980, Mackowski et al. 1990].
  - Theoretically justified [Cachorro and Salcedo 1991].
  - For a maximum error of  $10^{-8}$ , use p = 4.3.

Code for evaluating the expansions in the Lorenz-Mie theory is available online [Frisvad et al. 2007]: https://people.compute.dtu.dk/jerf/code/

## Case study: milk



skimmed low fat whole

- Refractive index of host: water + dissolved vitamin B2.
- ► Fat and protein contents: user input in wt.-%.
- Refractive index of milk fat and casein: measured spectra.
- Shape of fat globules and casein micelles: spheres and a volume to surface area ratio.
- Size distributions: log-normal with mean depending on fat content and homogenization pressure.

### Measurements used for the milk model ► Refractive indices:



Particle size distributions:



## Predicting appearance based on a content declaration



water vitamin B2 protein fat skimmed low fat whole

- Vitamin B2 content: 0.17 mg / 100 g
- Protein content: 3 g / 100 g
- Fat content: 0.1 g (skimmed), 1.5 g (low fat), 3.5 g (whole) / 100 g
- Homogenization pressure: 0 MPa (model: [0, 50] MPa)

# Simplistic model validation

- Camera
- Tripod
- Laser pointer
- Cup (use black cup)





# Predicting appearance

Scene



Digital scene modeled by hand to match physical scene (as best we could)

# Case study: cloudy apple juice

The visual appearance of a cloudy drink is a decisive factor for consumer acceptance. [Beveridge 2002]

Let us see if we can use Lorenz-Mie theory to create an appearance model useful for:

- predicting the visual effect of modifying production parameters;
- analyzing a given product with cameras.



# Apple juice appearance model

- Host medium is water with dissolved solids (mostly sugars).
- Particles are browned apple flesh.
- Optical properties given by complex indices of refraction: n = n' + i n''.
- We can relate these refractive indices to production parameters:
  - Particle concentration.
  - Storage time.

• . . .

Handling of apples.



# Apple juice appearance model

► We use a bimodal particle size distribution  $\hat{N}$ from Zimmer et al. [1994], scaled to the desired volume concentration v of particles ( $N = \hat{N}v/\hat{v}$ ).





# Rendering

- We can neither use single scattering nor diffusion theory.
- Thus, we use progressive unidirectional path tracing (Monte Carlo).
- Accounting for refractive indices using different interfaces.



## Results

- Varying particle concentration v (horizontally).
- Varying storage time and handling (vertically).



0.0 g/I 0.1 g/I 0.2 g/I 0.5 g/I 1.0 g/I 2.0 g/I

# Patch-based quantitative comparison



Patch-based quantitative comparison



# Visual comparison - MAM 2016 rendering



rendering

photograph

# Visual comparison - EPJH 2019 rendering



rendering

photograph

# Experimental(x) measurements

- Instrumentation for acquiring optical properties.
- Based on radiometry or one of the formal models.
- Early examples:
  - (x2) Gonioreflectometric BRDF measurement [TS66,War92]
  - (x2/x3) Bidirectional Texture Function (BTF)

[DVGNK99,DHT\*00,TWL\*05]

- (x3) SVBRDF on 3D surface (structured light)
   [MWL\*99,LKG\*01,WMP\*06]
- (x2/x3) Diffuse reflectometry for scattering properties [JMLH01,GLL\*04,TWL\*05]
- (x2) BRDF from curved sample geometry [MPBM03,NDM05]
- (x1) Fibre scattering measurement [MJC\*03,ZRL\*09]





# Inverse technique( $\leftarrow$ )

[Nielsen et al. SIGGRAPH Asia 2014 Posters]

#### Example: model parameters ← BRDF

• Compute measuring at a macroscopic scale.



- Examples:
  - BSSRDF ← diffuse reflectometry [JMLH01,GLL\*04,TWL\*05,DWd\*08]
  - Composition parameters ← BRDF/BTDF measurement [EĎKM04,NDM05,WMLT07]
  - BSSRDF ← structured light scan [PVBM\*06,WMP\*06,WZT\*08,GHP\*08]
  - Scattering properties ← photographing diluted liquid [NGD\*06]
  - Fibre assembly microgeometry ← multiview photography [JMM09]

# Example: spectral scattering properties ← diffuse reflectance



[Abildgaard et al. Non-invasive assessment of dairy products using spatially resolved diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. Applied Spectroscopy 69(9):1096-1105, 2015.]

# Example: Particle size distributions ← optical properties



Nelder-Mead simplex search with a low-parameter size distribution function to fit measured scattering coefficients [5] with Lorenz-Mie theory [2].





Commercial milk products



Fit results compared with particle size distributions measured with a Malvern Mastersizer 3000.

Effect of protein gel structure formation on apparent particle size distributions. This is useful for estimating viscosity or mouthfeel.

Abildgaard et al. 2016. <u>Noninvasive particle sizing using camera-based diffuse reflectance spectroscopy</u>. *Applied Optics 55*(14), pp. 3840-3846, May 2016.

# The input challenge

- Light transport simulation has come a long way, but renderings can only be as realistic/accurate as the input parameters permit.
- How do we get plausible input parameters?
  - Modeling (example: light scattering by particles).
  - Measuring (example: diffuse reflectance spectroscopy).
- Suppose we would like to go beyond visual comparison.
- How do we assess the appearance produced by a given set of input parameters?
  - Full digitization of a scene.
  - Reference photographs from known camera positions.
  - Pixelwise comparison of renderings with photographs.

## Multimodal digitization pipeline



### Data available at http://eco3d.compute.dtu.dk/pages/transparency

#### References

- Stets, J. D., Dal Corso, A., Nielsen, J. B., Lyngby, R. A., Jensen, S. H. N., Wilm, J., Doest, M. B., Gundlach, C., Eiriksson, E. R., Conradsen, K., Dahl, A. B., Brentzen, J. A., Frisvad, J. R., and Aans, H. Scene reassembly after multimodal digitization and pipeline evaluation using photorealistic rendering. *Applied Optics 56*(27), pp. 7679–7690, September 2017.

# More info on optical properties (forward and inverse models)



Survey of models for acquiring the optical properties of translucent materials Jeppe Revall Frisvad, Søren Alkærsig Jensen, Jonas Skovlund Madsen, António Correia, Li Yang, Søren Kimmer Schou Gregersen, Youri Meuret, and Poul-Erik Hansen *Computer Graphics Forum (EG 2020) 39*(2), pp. 729-755. May 2020. [webpage]

